Association between Diabetic Macular Edema and Capillary Non Perfusion; An Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography Study
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ABSTRACT

Aims: The aim is to use of OCTA to describe the retinal structural damages observed in DME and the relationship between the edema and the capillary non perfusion and to quantify VD and the FAZ area.
Study Design: prospective, cross sectional, observational study
Place and Duration of Study: University Hospitals in the period between March 2018 to March 2020.
Methodology: OCTA images were obtained using the AngioVue (Optovue Inc., CA, USA). For quantitative analysis of the VD and the FAZ area with the help of the manufacturer’s automated software.
Results: The study included included 160 eyes of 135 diabetic patients, 61 (45%) females and 74 (55%) males and 20 eyes from 20 age-matched healthy individuals (12 males and 8 females). A significantly worse visual acuity was found in diabetic eyes with CME (mean (X) ± SD LogMAR 0.61

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mostwaly@gmail.com;
± 0.33), than NPDR eyes without macular edema (0.25 ± 0.19), and controls (Mean ± SD LogMAR 0.02 ± 0.05), the values of VD of the SCP were significantly affected more than the DCP very early in the diabetic course (No DR stage) (P=0.040). Moving one stage more in the disease (mild to moderate NPDR with no edema stage) the deep layer got significantly more affected than the superficial layer (P=0.038). In the more advanced stages of the disease and as the macular edema develop and progress (spongy edema and CME) the two plexuses showed decreased VD values nearly to the same degree with the deep plexus affected slightly more (low VD values) than the superficial plexus.

**Conclusion:** Using the OCTA machine with AngioAnalytics parameters (vessel density and non-flow area) aided in the objective quantification of macular perfusion and accurate measurement of the FAZ area in diabetic eyes with and without macular edema. Both parameters were significantly correlated with visual function in treatment-naive diabetic eyes with macular edema.

**Keywords:** FAZ area; vessel density; cystoid macular edema; optical coherence tomography angiography.

**ABBREVIATIONS**

- FAZ: foveal avascular zone
- DCP: deep capillary plexus
- SCP: superficial capillary plexus
- VD: vessel density, NPDR: non proliferative diabetic retinopathy
- VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor
- DR: diabetic retinopathy

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA) is a new, non-invasive device which can detect motion contrast by one of the following three methods (1) phase-based, (2) amplitude-based, and (3) complex amplitude-based, the third technique uses combination of the first two techniques. Red blood cells should move a sufficient distance in order to be detected by the OCTA scans, this leads to a limitation in the OCTA devices which may not be able to differentiate between no flow and slow flow [1, 2].

Two commercial platforms are present, the first one is the spectral domain OCT (SD-OCT), with a wavelength about 840 nm, and the second one is the swept-source OCT (SS-OCT), with a longer wavelength approximately 1050 nm.

OCTA image is en-face image which is produced by segmentation of the OCTA cube at certain depth then the data within the slab are converted to this en-face image [3,4].

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is the leading cause of visual impairment worldwide. The disease is characterized by microaneurysms, capillary drop out, and ischemia, leading to neovascularization and/or macular edema, both of which can lead to severely impaired visual function. Early detection of DR is crucial for prevention of vision loss [1-3].

Among the retinal changes, such as microaneurysms and hard exudates; macular ischemia is a major risk leading to decreased perifoveal capillary blood flow causing chronic ischemia of the retinal tissue [4].

Several studies defined the importance of macular ischemia, which is considered a predictor of poor functional outcome in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) [4-6].

Retinal imaging is widely used by ophthalmologists to screen and follow-up DR and diabetic macular edema (DME). Fluorescein Angiography (FFA) is a gold standard for the analysis of the vascular and capillary bed since it provides a high sensitivity for a wide range of diabetic retinal changes two-dimensionally [7, 8].

In this study we aimed to use OCTA to describe the retinal structural damages observed in DME and the relationship between DME and the capillary non perfusion and to quantify vascular capillary density and the foveal avascular zone area.

**2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This cross sectional, observational study was conducted on 160 eyes from 135 diabetic patients and 20 eyes from 20 healthy age matched individuals in the period from March 2018 to March 2020.

The study was performed according to principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects...
provided written informed consent to get involved in this study.

2.1 Inclusion Criteria

The study reviewed healthy patients and diabetic patients who were divided as follow:

1. Group I: 40 eyes of patients with diabetes mellitus for more than 5 years duration with no clinically detected Diabetic retinopathy (No DR Group)
2. Group II: 40 eyes of patients diagnosed with mild to moderate non proliferative diabetic retinopathy without diabetic macular Edema (NPDR Group)
3. Group III: 40 eyes diagnosed with diabetic retinopathy associated with Spongy macular edema (Spongy edema Group).
4. Group IV: 40 eyes diagnosed with diabetic retinopathy associated with Cystoid macular edema (CME Group).
5. Group V: 20 healthy eyes of age matched subjects as control group (Control Group).

2.2 Exclusion Criteria

- Patients with severe complications of diabetic retinopathy as vitreous hemorrhage or neovascular glaucoma.
- Patients who received any kind of treatment for diabetic retinopathy as laser photocoagulation, intravitreal injection.
- Patients with concomitant retinal disease (e.g., dystrophy, vascular occlusion, or age related macular degeneration).
- Patients with any media opacity affecting quality of imaging studies as corneal opacity, dense cataract.
- Patients with motion artifacts preventing the accurate analysis of the microvascularization were excluded.

2.3 Image Acquisition

OCT angiography images were obtained using the AngioVue (Optovue Inc., CA, USA), images were centered on the fovea after pupil dilation, each cube consisting of 304 clusters of two repeated B-scans each contains 304 A-scans [9].

We used the flow density map software AngioAnalytics, an automatic quantification tool that measured flow area, non flow area, and flow area density [10]. AngioAnalytics evaluated and then reported the relative density of flow as a percentage of the total evaluated area [11]. With AngioAnalytics software, VD is calculated by first extracting a binary image of the vessels from the grayscale OCTA en face image, and then computing the percentage of pixels of vessels in the defined sectors [12]. Automated measurement of the FAZ area was obtained using the new non flow area measurement option [13]. An avascular area defined by automatic border detection was quantified in square millimeters (mm2) [14].

3. RESULTS

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM, Armonk, NY). Chi-square test ($\chi^2$) was used to study association between qualitative variables. A p value $\leq 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

This cross sectional observational study included 160 eyes of 135 diabetic patients, 61 (45%) females and 74 (55%) males and 20 eyes from 20 age-matched healthy individuals (12 males and 8 females). The mean age of the participants was 57.9 ± 13.4 years. Patients whether type I or type II diabetics were included in the study with diabetes duration of more than 5 years and irrespective of their method of diabetic control whether oral hypoglycemic drugs or insulin intake.

A significantly worse visual acuity was found in diabetic eyes with cystoids macular edema (mean ($\bar{x}$) ± SD LogMAR 0.61 ± 0.33), than NPDR eyes without macular edema (0.25 ± 0.19), and controls (Mean ± SD LogMAR 0.02 ± 0.05).

The control group and the No DR group had significantly higher SCP VD than any of the other groups. The SCP VD of the NPDR with no DME group was not significantly different from the spongy group (P = 0.22), but was significantly higher than CME (P < 0.001) and significantly lower than the control group (P < 0.001). The SCP VD of the spongy group was not significantly different from the CME group (P = 0.175), but was significantly lower than the control group (P < 0.001) (Table 1).

The control group and the No DR group had significantly higher DCP VD than any of the other groups. The DCP VD of the NPDR group was not significantly different from the spongy group (P = 0.846), but was significantly higher than CME (P = 0.003) and significantly lower than the
control group (P <0.001). The DCP VD of the spongy group was not significantly different from the CME group (P =0.473), but was significantly lower than the control group (P <0.001) (Table 2). The CME group had significantly larger Superficial Capillary Plexus (SCP) fovea FAZ area size than all the other groups (P <0.001 for any). Among all the other groups, there was no significant difference regarding the FAZ. The FAZ area was significantly larger in diabetic eyes without edema than the control eyes, at the level of DCP (P <0.001 for any). The CME group had significantly larger DCP foveal avascular zone size than the NO DR and the NPDR (P =0.032 and P =0.05 respectively).

4. DISCUSSION

We conducted a cross sectional study to evaluate the role of OCTA in describing the retinal structural damages observed in diabetic macular edema and the relationship between diabetic macular edema and the capillary non perfusion. Also we followed the earlier stages of diabetic retinopathy passing by non clinically detected DR to the mild and moderate NPDR with no evidenced DME.

Our results also indicated that diabetic eyes with mild to moderate NPDR without macular edema exhibited significantly lower macular vessel density (VD) (whole image) values at the level of both the superficial and deep retinal networks in 3 x 3 mm Angio Retina scans (P = < 0.001) when compared to controls.

Our results also showed that diabetic eyes with no clinically detected diabetic retinopathy didn’t have significant lower macular vessel density (whole image) values at the level of both

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>SCP VD (%)</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Kk</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Post Hoc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No DR (Group I)</td>
<td>51.78±42.32</td>
<td>51.98</td>
<td>118.66</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P1 &lt;0.001 P2 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPDR (Group II)</td>
<td>42.31±3.78</td>
<td>43.10</td>
<td>120.87</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P3 &lt;0.001 P4 0.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spongy (Group III)</td>
<td>40.28±4.13</td>
<td>40.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P5 0.22  P6 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CME (Group IV)</td>
<td>37.68±5.47</td>
<td>37.60</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P7 &lt;0.001 P8 0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (Group V)</td>
<td>53.07±3.57</td>
<td>52.62</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P9 &lt;0.001 P10 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P1: NO DR Vs NPDR, P2: No DR Vs Spongy, P3: No DR Vs CME, P4: No DR Vs Control, P5: NPDR vs Spongy, P6: NPDR Vs CME, P7: NPDR vs Control, P8: Spongy Vs CME, P9: Spongy Vs Control, P10: CME Vs Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>DCP VD (%)</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Kk</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Post Hoc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No DR (Group I)</td>
<td>58.93±1.77</td>
<td>59.46</td>
<td>127.71</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P1 &lt;0.001 P2 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPDR (Group II)</td>
<td>44.73±4.25</td>
<td>44.27</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P3 &lt;0.001 P4 0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spongy (Group III)</td>
<td>43.17+5.71</td>
<td>41.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P5 0.846  P6 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CME (Group IV)</td>
<td>40.95±4.70</td>
<td>40.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P7 &lt;0.001 P8 0.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (Group V)</td>
<td>57.59±4.23</td>
<td>56.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P9 &lt;0.001 P10 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P1: NO DR Vs NPDR, P2: No DR Vs Spongy, P3: No DR Vs CME, P4: No DR Vs Control, P5: NPDR vs Spongy, P6: NPDR Vs CME, P7: NPDR vs Control, P8: Spongy Vs CME, P9: Spongy Vs Control, P10: CME Vs Control

Table 1. Superficial capillary plexus vessel density values % in different grades of diabetic retinopathy

Table 2. Deep capillary plexus vessel density values % in different grades of diabetic retinopathy
eyes and found a difference between the extent area of retinal non perfusion near the macula in 7 findings in patients with DR. They measured the evaluated how OCTA depicts clin similar to those of Ishibazawa et al. Who plexus affected slightly more (low VD values) values nearly to the same degree with the deep plexuses showed decreased vessel density the disease and as the macular edema developed more in the more advanced stages of significantly more affected than the superficial density of the superficial capillary plexus were Our results revealed that the values of vessel density in diabetic eyes with Cystoid Edema had significantly reduced visual acuity when compared to diabetic eyes with spongy edema and eyes without DME including No DR and NPDR eyes as well as control eyes (P = < 0.001 for any. Samara et al. Used the 3 x 3 mm OCTA scans to study macular vascular density at both SCP and DCP in diabetic (NPDR and PDR) patients and to quantify diabetic macular ischemia. In all eyes, they found a statistically significant negative correlation between the logarithm of visual acuity and the vascular density in both the superficial (r = -0.52; p < 0.001) and deep (r = -0.50; p < 0.001) networks. A positive correlation was found between logmar visual acuity and FAZ area in both the superficial (r = 0.29; p < 0.01) and deep (r = 0.48; p < 0.001) networks. The authors concluded that using automated quantitative algorithms allow for objective assessment of retinal vascular changes in eyes with DR that are correlated to visual acuity [18].

In the present study, diabetic eyes with cystoid macular edema presented with significantly reduced visual acuity compared to diabetic eyes with spongy edema and eyes without DME including No DR and NPDR eyes as well as control eyes (P = < 0.001 for any. Samara et al. Used the 3 x 3 mm OCTA scans to study macular vascular density at both SCP and DCP in diabetic (NPDR and PDR) patients and to quantify diabetic macular ischemia. In all eyes, they found a statistically significant negative correlation between the logarithm of visual acuity and the vascular density in both the superficial (r = -0.52; p < 0.001) and deep (r = -0.50; p < 0.001) networks. A positive correlation was found between logmar visual acuity and FAZ area in both the superficial (r = 0.29; p < 0.01) and deep (r = 0.48; p < 0.001) networks. The authors concluded that using automated quantitative algorithms allow for objective assessment of retinal vascular changes in eyes with DR that are correlated to visual acuity [18].

In the present study, FAZ area was measured using a non-flow AngioAnalytics tool. In agreement with the previously mentioned studies, we observed a progressive enlargement of the FAZ area as the DR progress. We found that in diabetic eyes with CME had significantly larger FAZ area size at the SCP level when compared to diabetic eyes without DME (no DR or NPDR eyes also when compared with the spongy edema eyes with (P = < 0.001) for any. Also CME group showed significantly enlarged FAZ area of the DCP level when compared to the no edema group (No DR and NPDR) (P = 0.032 and P = 0.05; respectively, also when compared to the control group (P = < 0.001). A previous report by Bailaratning et al. observed a significant correlation between FAZ area and VA in diabetic eyes with macular edema [19].
5. CONCLUSION

OCTA could detect infraclinical quantitative or qualitative differences in macular capillaries of diabetic patients without DR in comparison with controls. Results from this study suggested that superficial and deep retinal vessel density in the diabetic patients without DR is decreased compared to healthy subjects. The differences between the control and diabetic group’s associations of OCTA parameters with the subjects’ systemic characteristics suggests altered autoregulation of the retinal blood vessels in diabetic patients without DR.

We revealed that the integrity of the DCP is important not only for the occurrence of DME but also for its progression. Therefore, the extent of DCP loss assessed by OCTA could be a useful diagnostic tool for predicting the treatment response to anti-vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) agents.

It’s recommended to use OCTA parameters as vessel density (VD) and foveal avascular zone (FAZ) area as a potential biomarker for evaluating the risk of developing diabetic retinopathy in patients with diabetes without DR. Also the development of full-field OCTA fundus camera should be able to strengthen early diagnosis of DR and detection of preclinical findings on a wider scale also to use also these OCTA biomarkers to predict visual function in such eyes and for monitoring treatment response. Further studies are needed to document the same patient over the long period of DR progression state since the current study lacked the follow-up of the reported changes over time.
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